

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/799,564	03/10/2004	Dennis M. O'Connor	P18395	7801
25694 7	590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER	
INTEL CORPORATION P.O. BOX 5326			NGUYEN, THAN VINH	
SANTA CLARA, CA 95056-5326			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2187 -	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/799,564	O'CONNOR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Than Nguyen	2187			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	January 2006.				
	nis action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/10/04, 1/12/06</u> . 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/799,564 Page 2

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

1) Claims 1-45 are pending

2) The IDSes, filed 3/10/04 and 1/12/06, have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3) The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4) Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "observable" in claims 1-45 is used by the claim to mean "accessible or available", while the accepted meaning is "able to be observed/discernable." The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term. A processor does not have the ability to "observe" or "see", as Applicant describes in the specification and claims. A processor can only perform functions instructed or hardwired. The use of the terms "observe" or "see" is vague and ambiguous as one of ordinary skills in the art cannot reasonable determine how a processor can observe or see an operation as these traits are not clearly defined by the specification nor commonly used in the arts. For purposes of examination, the Examiner interprets the language of a memory operation being "observable" by a processor to mean

Page 3

that the data result of the memory operation is ready or available to be accessed by a processor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6) Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Gharachorloo et al.

 "Memory Consistency and Event Ordering in Scalable Shared-Memory Multiprocessors,

 1990 IEEE, Pgs. 15-26), hereinafter as Gharachorloo.

As to claim 1,22:

7) Gharachorloo teaches a system and its associated method to order memory operations in a system, the method comprising: using at least one signal to indicate that a particular kind of memory operation is not globally observable but is observable by at least one processor of the system (using a flag to indicate that data is [not] ready for access, using lock[s] operations to prevent other processors from accessing/seeing the data (p.17/col. 2/par. 1-2).

As to claim 2-6,9,11,12,15,17-20,26,27,29-32,34-39:

8) Gharachorloo teaches wherein using includes using at least two signals, wherein a first signal of the at least two signals indicates that a load/store operation issued by the at least one processor is not globally observable by all processors of the system but is observable by the at least one processor and wherein a second signal of the at least two signals indicates that a

Application/Control Number: 10/799,564

Art Unit: 2187

store/load operation issued by the at least one processor is not globally observable by all processors of the system but is observable by the at least one processor (using flag/locks to prevent/allow other processors from accessing/seeing the data during load/store/swap; p.17/col 2/par. 1-2; p.16/col. 2/par. 4-8; p.19/col 1/par. 4-6; Fig. 3).

Page 4

As to claim 7,10,13,16,21,24:

9) Gharachorloo teaches allowing other store/load/swap operations to be issued by any of the processors of the system if the first signal is asserted (release the lock for other processors to access the data; p. 17/col 2/par. 1-2; p. 19/col 1/par. 4-6).

As to claim 8,14,25,28:

10) Gharachorloo teaches preventing any processor of the system to issue a memory operation other than a store/load/swap operation if the first signal is asserted (p. 16/col 2/par. 4-8).

As to claim 23,33,40:

11) Gharachorloo teaches a second processor coupled to the first processor and signal lines connecting the processors (multiprocessor systems interconnected; p. 15-16).

As to claim 41:

12) Gharachorloo teaches the first and second processor having local and shared cache/memories (p. 15/col 2/par. 3-4). It should be noted that Sharma et al (US 6,055,605) also teaches a multiprocessing system having multiple processors, each having a private cache, and sharing a shared cache (Fig. 1-3; 4/10-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/799,564

Art Unit: 2187

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

13) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14) Claims 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by

 Gharachorloo et al. "Memory Consistency and Event Ordering in Scalable Shared-Memory

 Multiprocessors, 1990 IEEE, Pgs. 15-26), hereinafter as Gharachorloo.
- 15) As to claim 42,45:
- 16) Gharachorloo teaches a system/wireless phone, comprising: a first processor to use at least one signal for memory consistency, wherein the at least one signal indicates that a particular kind of memory operation is not globally observable in the system but is observable by at least one processor of the system; and an antenna coupled to the first processor (using a flag to indicate that data is [not] ready for access, using lock[s] operations to prevent other processors from accessing/seeing the data (p.17/col. 2/par. 1-2). Gharachorloo does not specifically teach an antenna coupled to the first processor. It is well-known to use an antenna to allow wireless communication between systems. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills to use an antenna in the system of Gharachorloo to allow wireless communications between the processors in the multiprocessor system.

As to claim 43:

Art Unit: 2187

17) Gharachorloo teaches a second processor coupled to the first processor and signal lines connecting the processors (multiprocessor systems interconnected; p. 15-16).

Page 6

As to claim 44:

18) Gharachorloo teaches the first processor has logic to assert the first signal line after the first processor issues a load operation to indicate that the load operation is not globally observable in the system but is observable by at least one processor of the system (using flag/locks to prevent/allow other processors from accessing/seeing the data during load/store/swap; p.17/col 2/par. 1-2; p.16/col. 2/par. 4-8; p.19/col 1/par. 4-6; Fig. 3).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Than Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4198. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-3pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,564

Art Unit: 2187

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Than Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2187